# Environmental and health risks associated with biomedical waste management

Nikos E. Mastorakis, Carmen A. Bulucea, Tatiana A. Oprea, Cornelia A Bulucea, Philippe Dondon

Abstract Human beings are exposed to a huge variety of health risks over their entire life. This article is an attempt to prove that environmental and health risks and concerns are chained, and the fundamental premises for a systemic approach of biomedical waste management must be achieved. Since an important issue of environmental protection process is the biomedical waste management, this study focuses on responsible planning of collecting, transporting, processing and disposing of hazardous and nonhazardous biomedical waste, with a special concern on effective management of biomedical waste incorporating an appropriate waste reduction and neutralization component. An attempt has been made to critically review the current biomedical waste management practices followed by some Romanian hospitals. Following the rules and legislation of both Romania and European Union, the methods for segregation, packaging, labeling and the treatment techniques for reduction in volume, neutralization and final disposal of the biomedical waste are analyzed.

*Keywords* Biomedical waste, Health care, Hospital, Waste management system

## I. INTRODUCTION

Every day, relatively large amount of potentially infectious and hazardous waste are generated in the health care hospitals and facilities around the world [1]. An important issue of environmental protection process is the solid waste management (SWM), that includes responsible planning of collecting, transporting, processing and disposing of hazardous and non-hazardous solid waste material. A special concern focuses on effective management of biomedical waste incorporating an appropriate waste reduction and neutralization component [2]. Along with this idea, a systemic approach of biomedical waste is compulsory, since without proper guidance, the hazardous medical waste management may compromise the quality of patient caretaking.

N. E. Mastorakis is with the Technical University of Sofia, Industrial Engineering Department, Sofia, BULGARIA, <u>mastor@tu-sofia.bg</u> & Military Institutions of University Education (ASEI), Hellenic Naval Academy Terma Hatzikyriakou, 18539, Piraeus, GREECE

Carmen A. Bulucea is with the University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Romania (e-mail: abulucea@gmail.com).

Tatiana A. Oprea is with the National Research Institute ICSI Ramnicu Valcea, Romania (e-mail: tatianaoprea05@yahoo.com).

Cornelia A. Bulucea is with the University of Craiova, Faculty of Electromechanical and Environmental Faculty, Romania (e-mail: aidan5678@gmail.com).

Philippe Dondon is with ENSEIRB-MATMECA, Av Dr A. Schweitzer 33405 Talence, France. (e-mail: Philippe.Dondon@enseirb-matmeca.fr)

Medical care is vital for our life and health, but the waste generated from medical activities represents a real problem of living nature and human world. Main purposes of waste management are to clean up the surrounding environment and to identify the appropriate methods for waste neutralization, recycling and disposal [3]. Within waste management (WM), the health care waste management (HCWM) is a process that helps to ensure proper hospital hygiene and safety of health care workers and communities. HCWM concerns about planning and procurement, staff training and behavior, proper use of tools, machines and pharmaceuticals, proper methods applied for segregation, reduction in volume, treatment and disposal of biomedical waste [4,5].

The literature studies [4,5,6,7,8,9,10] have been demonstrated that there is not a single method of biomedical waste treatment or disposal that completely eliminates all risks to humans or to environment. The first step of this approaching focuses on the risks caused by an inappropriate biomedical waste management.

# II. ENVIRONMENTAL AND HEALTH RISKS CAUSED BY BIOMEDICAL WASTE

Biomedical waste is produced in all conventional medical units where treatment of (human or animal) patients is provided, such as hospitals, clinics, dental offices, dialysis facilities, as well as analytical laboratories, blood banks, university laboratories. Health care waste refers to all materials, biological or nonbiological, that are discarded in any health care facility and are not intended for any other use [2]. Within a health care facility or hospital, the main groups submitted to risks are:

- Doctors, medical nurses, healthcare unit workers and maintenance staff;

- Patients;
- Visitors;

- Workers in ancillary services: laundry, medical supplies store, those charged with collecting and transporting waste;

- Service workers dealing with waste treatment and disposal of health unit.

Regarding the health care workers, three infections are most commonly transmitted: hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), and human immunodeficiency (HIV) virus. Among the 35 million health care workers worldwide, the estimations show [2,8] that each year about 3 million receive hard exposures to bloodborne pathogens, 2 million of those to HBV, 0.9 million to HCV, and 170,000 to HIV.

Also, the workers involved in the collection and treatment of the biomedical waste are exposed to a certain risk.

Table I presents the types of infections [8,9]determined by the contact with biomedical waste, pathogen agents and transmission.

| TABLE I  |  |
|--|--|
| TYPES OF INFECTIOUS CAUSED BY BIOMEDICAL WASTE |  |

| Infection Type   | Pathogen Agents        | <b>Transmission Path</b> |
|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Gastrointestinal | Enterobacteria:Salmon  | Faeces or/and vomiting   |
| infections       | ell, Shigella spp.     | liquid                   |
|                  | Vibrio cholerae        |                          |
|                  | Helminths              |                          |
| Respiratory      | Mycobacterium          | Respiratory              |
| infections       | tuberculosis Measles   | secretions, saliva       |
|                  | virus Streptococcus    |                          |
|                  | pneumonae              |                          |
| Eye infections   | Herpes virus           | Eye secretions           |
| Genital          | Neisseria gonorrhoeae  | Genital secretions       |
| infections       | Herpes virus           |                          |
| Skin infections  | Streptococcus spp.     | Purulent secretions      |
| Anthrax          | Bacillus anthracis     | Secretions of skin       |
|                  |                        | lesions                  |
| Meningitis       | Neisseria meningitidis | LCR                      |
| AIDS             | HIV                    | Blood, semen, vaginal    |
|                  |                        | secretions               |
| Haemorrhagic     | Junin Viruses, Lassa,  | Biological fluids and    |
| fevers           | Ebola Marburg          | secretions               |
| Septicemia       | Staphylococcus ssp     | Blood                    |
| Viral Hepatitis  | VHA                    | Faeces                   |
| type A           |                        |                          |
| Viral Hepatitis  | VHB, VHC               | Blood, biological fluids |
| type B and C     |                        | _                        |

As a consequence, around the world there is seriously taken into consideration the implementation of immunization programs, along with a proper biomedical waste management.

Risks generated by the chemical and pharmaceutical waste are associated to the potential traits of characteristics, such as: toxic, genotoxic, corrosive, flammable, explosive, teratogenic, mutagenic.

The sources of pharmaceutical waste are represented by:

- drugs administered intra venous;
- payment/ breakage of containers;

- partially used vials;

- unused or undated medications;

- expired medicines.

Larger amounts of such biomedical waste occur when unwanted or expired chemical and pharmaceutical products are removed. These can cause poisoning by absorption through the skin or mucous membranes, by inhalation or by ingestion. Chemicals and pharmaceuticals may also determine lesions of skin, eye, and respiratory mucosa. The most common injuries are the burns. Chemical waste removed by drainage system may have toxic effects on ecosystems and water where are discharged. Similar effects may have the pharmaceuticals which contain antibiotics or other drugs, heavy metals, disinfectants and antiseptics.

Risks associated to final elimination of biomedical waste should be also considered within a health care and environmental protection program. Incineration of medical waste containing plastic with chlorine composition determines the dioxin generation. Dioxin is a known carcinogen. Once formed, dioxin is linking to organic particles, that are carried by wind, deposited on land and in water. The half-life of dioxin is estimated at 25-100 years. Dioxin binds to nuclear DNA. It acts as a potential cancer promoter, weak-delete immune response and is associated with many negative effects both on human health (endometriosis, birth defects, low testosterone levels) and on environment. All these effects occur when exposure to low levels of dioxin. Incineration of biomedical waste with heavy metals content is forbidden.

### III. HOLISTIC APPROACH OF BIOMEDICAL WASTE

Accordingly to concept definition, "Biomedical waste means any solid and/or liquid waste including its container and any intermediate product, which is generated during the diagnosis, treatment or immunization of human beings or animals or in research pertaining thereto or in the production or testing thereof". The physic-chemical and biological nature of these components, their toxicity and potential hazard are different, necessitating different methods and options for their treatment and/or disposal [10,13]. The basic components of hazardous biomedical waste consist in:

\* human anatomical waste (such as, tissues, organs, body parts etc.);

\* microbiology and biotechnology waste (such as, laboratory cultures, micro-organisms, human cell cultures, toxins etc.);

\* waste sharps (such as, hypodermic needles, syringes, scalps, broken glass etc.);

\* discarded medicines and cyto-toxic drugs;

\* soiled waste (such as, dressing, bandages, plaster cats, material contaminated with blood etc.);

\* solid waste (disposable items like tubes, catheters etc. excluding sharps);

\* liquid waste generated from any of the infected areas;

\* animal waste (generated during research or experimentation, from veterinary hospitals etc.);

\* incineration ash;

\* chemical waste.

The healthcare waste can be subdivided into hazardous and non-hazardous categories. Since, it would not be possible for each and every health care establishment to have its own full treatment and disposal system for biomedical waste, there would be need for common treatment and disposal facilities under the coordination of medical head coordination and under the supervision and guidance of the civic authority [13,14,15]. A control strategy for biomedical waste management follows the basic steps of characterizing the stream in light of treatment alternatives, segregating some waste to facilitate management based on these characteristics, and looking "upstream" to discover any opportunities to reduce the volume and/or toxicity of biomedical waste [10].

An assessment of the biomedical waste situation obtained within a district or city hospitals as a whole is necessary before making any attempts for improvement [16,17,18]. It means that there must be taken into account the essential steps:

A) Biomedical waste generation;

B) Biomedical waste segregation, collection and storage;

- C) Biomedical waste handling and transportation;
- D) Biomedical waste treatment and disposal.

## A. Biomedical Waste Generation

Accordingly to the European Legislation, each hospital or health care establishment has to achieve a program [11,12] for qualitative as well as quantitative survey of the biomedical waste generated, depending on the medical activities and procedures followed by it [20,21].

The biomedical waste structure [1,8] is presented in Fig.1




Fig. 1 Biomedical waste structure \* cytostatics, pressurized containers, broken thermometers, used batteries, radioactive waste.

TABLE II TYPES OF HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATED WITHIN OBSTETRICS-

| GYNAECOLOGY HOSPITALS                                |  |   |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Blood, blood<br>products, other<br>biological fluids | Human blood discarded, blood<br>components or products,<br>various materials soaked with<br>blood, or blood products, or | Generated in<br>almost all<br>medical clinics   |  |  |  |
| Sharp tools  | fluids, or impregnated with<br>dried blood<br>Syringes, pipettes, scalpels,  | Generated in                                    |  |  |  |
|  | vials, needles, capillary tubes,<br>broken glass objects   | almost all clinics                              |  |  |  |
| Anatomical and                                       | Tissues, organs, natomical   | Generated                                       |  |  |  |
| anatomo-<br>pathological waste                       | parts, including organic liquids<br>removed during surgery or other<br>medical procedures                                | mainly in surgery<br>and pathology<br>clinics   |  |  |  |
| Waste from   | Materials contaminated with  | Generated mainly                                |  |  |  |
| isolation wards                                      | blood, excreta etc. from isolated  | in infectious                                   |  |  |  |
|  | patients suffering from<br>contagious diseases   | diseases clinic                                 |  |  |  |
| Waste from surgery<br>wards                          | Materials to be removed,<br>including soiled dressings,<br>sponges, gowns, gloves  | Generated mainly<br>in surgery clinics          |  |  |  |
| Waste from<br>laboratory wards                       | Wastes that have been in<br>contact with infectious<br>substances, such as blades,<br>cultures, reagents                 | Generated in laboratories                       |  |  |  |
| Waste from intensive<br>therapy wards ICU            | Effluents and equipment came into contact with the blood of patients   | Generated in<br>intensive therapy<br>clinic ICU |  |  |  |
| Cultures of microorganisms                           | Cultures of infectious agents  | Laboratory and<br>research<br>department        |  |  |  |
| Sanitary materials contaminated                      | Vacuumtainers, blood bags,<br>containers for suction, drainage<br>systems, dialysis lines                                | Generated in almost all clinics                 |  |  |  |
| Chemotherapeutics                                    |  | Generated in oncology clinics                   |  |  |  |

The concerned medical establishment should constitute a team of its experts, concerned personnel and workers: doctors, chemists, laboratory technicians, hospital engineers, nurses, cleaning inspectors, cleaning staff. Also, the medical establishment has to earmark a suitable place where the qualitative and quantitative tests can be carried out. The biomedical waste generated by all the departments has to be collected according to the prevailing practices of collections [12] and then has to be sorted out into the different categories according to the rules of biomedical waste legislation [17]. It must be also said that if an incinerator is operating within the hospital campus, then the incinerator ash produced every day has to be weighed. Regarding the liquid waste, it may be divided into liquid reagents/chemical discarded and the cleaning and washing water channeled into the drain. Hence, the category-wise survey of medical waste generation are: human anatomical waste, animal waste, microbiology and biotechnology waste, sharps waste, medicines and cyto-toxic drugs, soiled waste, solid waste, chemical waste, incineration ash, liquid waste.

# B. Biomedical Waste Segregation and Storage

The segregation of biomedical waste should be examined because facility standard operating procedures for biomedical waste segregation have a direct impact on type and cost of biomedical waste treatment [17]. Each category of waste has to be kept segregated in a proper container or bag as the case may be. Such container or bag should have certain properties: it should be without any leakage; it must be able to contain the designed volume and weight of the waste without any damage; the container should have a cover, preferably operated by foot; when a bag or container is filled at  $3/4^{th}$  capacity it must be sealed and an appropriate label has to be attached; taking into account the European and National Legislation [11,12,17,18], an adequate symbol must be pictured for all type of biomedical waste, according to their code: 1) infectious waste; 2) pathological waste; 3) sharps; 4) pharmaceutical waste; 5) genotoxic waste; 6) chemical waste; 7) waste with high content of heavy metals; 8) radioactive waste.

Arrangement for separate receptacles in the storage area with prominent display of colour code has been made in accordance with the legislation: yellow for hazardous biomedical waste and black for the non-hazardous waste [17].

### C. Biomedical Waste Handling and Transportation

This activity has three components: collection of different kinds of waste from waste storage bags and containers inside the hospital, transportation and intermediate storage of segregated waste inside the premises and transportation of the waste outside the premises towards the treatment or final disposal. The biomedical waste has to be transported to the treatment or disposal facility site in a safe manner. The vehicle should have certain specifications [17]: it should be covered and secured against accidental opening of door, leakage etc.; the interior of the container without sharp edges or corners in the aim to be easily washed and disinfected; there should be adequate arrangement for drainage and collection of any leakage.

## D. Biomedical Waste Treatment and Disposal

Different methods have been developed for rendering biomedical waste environmentally innocuous and aesthetically acceptable [10,13,19,20,21,23,25].




Fig.2 Chemical composition of biomedical waste

The biomedical waste legislation [11,12,17] has elaborately mentioned the recommended treatment and disposal options according to the different categories of waste generated in hospitals. Different methods and treatment technologies have been developed [2,10,13], starting from the chemical composition and hazardous traits of biomedical waste: (1) Incineration; (2) Autoclave treatment; (3) Hydroclave treatment; (4) Microwave treatment; (5) Chemical disinfecting; (6) Sanitary and secured landfilling; (7) General Waste.

Incineration is a high temperature thermal process involving combustion of the waste under controlled condition for converting them into inert material and gases. Incinerators [25] can be oil fired or electrically powered or a combination thereof. On a broader front, three types of incinerators are used for hospital waste [10,13,25]: multiple hearth type, rotary kiln and controlled air types. All the types can have primary and secondary combustion chambers to ensure optimal combustion. In the multiple hearth incinerator, solid phase combustion takes place in the primary chamber whereas the secondary chamber is for gas phase combustion. There are referred to as excess air incinerators because excess air is present in both the chambers. The rotary kiln is a cylindrical refractory lined shell that is mounted at a slight tilt to facilitate mixing and movement of the waste inside. It has provision of air circulation. The kiln acts as the primary solid phase chamber, which is followed by the secondary chamber for the gaseous combustion. In the third type, the first chamber is operated at low air levels followed by an excess air chamber. Due to low oxygen levels in the primary chamber, there is better control of particulate matter in the flue gas. According to the legislation [17,18,20,21], incineration it is recommended for human anatomical waste, animal waste, cytotoxic drugs, discarded medicines and soiled waste.

Autoclave Treatment is a process of steam sterilization under pressure. It is a low heat process in which steam is brought into direct contact with the waste material for duration sufficient to disinfect the material. There are also of three types: gravity type, pre-vacuum type and retort type. In the Gravity type, air is evacuated by help of gravity alone. The system operates at temperature of 121 deg.C and steam pressure of 15 psi for 60-90 minutes. In the Pre-vacuum type, vacuum pumps are used to evacuate air from the pre-vacuum autoclave system so that the time cycle is reduced to 30-60 minutes. It operates at about 132 deg.C. The Retort type autoclaves are designed to handle much larger volumes and operate at much higher steam temperature and pressure. Autoclave treatment is recommended [10,13] for microbiology and biotechnology waste, waste sharps, soiled and solid waste.

Hydroclave Treatment is based on an innovative equipment named Hydroclave, for steam sterilization process (like autoclave) [10]. Hydroclave is a double walled container in which the steam is injected into the outer jacket to heat the inner chamber containing the waste. Moisture contained in the waste evaporates as steam and builds up the requisite steam pressure (35-36 psi). Sturdy paddles slowly rotated by a strong shaft inside the chamber tumble the waste continuously against the hot wall thus mixing as well as fragmenting the same. In the absence of enough moisture, additional steam is injected. The system operates at 132 deg.C and 36 psi steam pressure for sterilization time of 20 minutes. The total time for a cycle is about 50 minutes, which includes start-up, heat-up, sterilization, venting and depressurization and dehydration. The treated material can further be shredded before disposal. The expected volume and weight reductions are up to 85% and 70% respectively. The hydroclave can treat the same waste as the autoclave plus the waste sharps (also fragmented). This technology has certain benefits, such as, absence of harmful air emissions, absence of liquid discharges, non-requirement of chemicals, reduced volume and weight of waste etc [10,21].

*Microwave Treatment* is again a wet thermal disinfection technology but unlike other thermal treatment systems, which heat the waste externally, microwave heats the targeted material from inside out, providing a high level of disinfection. Microwave technology has certain benefits, such as, absence of harmful air emissions, no requirement of chemicals, reduced volume of waste. However, the investment costs are high at present [10,13,19]. According to legislation, the microbiology and biotechnology waste, the soiled and solid waste are permitted to be microwaved [20,21].

*Chemical Disinfecting* is a treatment recommended for waste sharps, solid and liquid waste as well as chemical wastes. Chemical treatment involves use of at least 1% hypochlorite solution with a minimum contact period of 30 minutes or other equivalent chemical reagents, such as, phenolic compounds, iodine, hexachlorophene, iodine-alcohol or formaldehyde-alcohol combination [2,10,13,21].

*Sanitary and Secured Landfilling* is necessary under certain circumstances [10,13,20,21]:

- deep burial of human anatomical waste, when the facility of proper incineration is not available – Secured landfill;

- animal waste, under similar conditions as above - Secured landfill;

- disposal of autoclaved/hydroclaved/microwaved waste – Sanitary landfill;

- disposal of incineration ash - Sanitary landfill;

- disposal of sharps – Secured landfill.

*General Waste* includes the waste material generated from the office, kitchen, garden, store etc., which are non-hazardous and non-toxic. General waste may be taken care of composting of green waste, and recycling of packaging material. In both cases, certificate indicating origin and non-contamination, issued by the concerned medical authorities of the health care establishment is essential from the point of safety [17,18,33].

### IV. CASE STUDY IN ROMANIAN HOSPITALS. BIOMEDICAL WASTE ASSESSMENT

Source reduction or prevention of biomedical waste encompass the activities that reduce the toxicity or quantity of discarded products before the products are purchased, used and discarded [19,20]. Source reduction can be achieved by: 1) manufacturers considering biomedical waste issues in designs of current and planned medical and health-care products and their packing; and 2) consumers of medical and health products (e.g., hospitals) directing their purchasing decisions, product use and discarding of products towards waste reduction goals. The two fundamental characteristics of biomedical wastes that are focus of reduction efforts are: toxicity, i.e., eliminating or finding benign substitutes for substances that pose risks when they are discarded; and quantity, i.e., changing the design or use of products to minimize the amount of waste generated when they are discarded.




Fig. 3 Responsibilities in biomedical waste management

Knowing the types and quantities of waste generated, as well as the management, transport and final disposal is the obligation of each producer (generator) [17,18,20,21,27].

This case study present the analysis results of biomedical waste generated in 11 hospitals of Dolj District, Romania [15,22], as well as the results of biomedical waste management project implemented within the Hospital of Obstetrics-Gynaecology of Ramnicu-Valcea [23].

Data recording is how to control the waste cycle of generation, segregation, transportation, neutralization, recycling and final disposal by the healthcare unit Head.

Determining the types and quantities of waste generated in the healthcare facility is achieved by monitoring daily, monthly and quarterly, based on the methodology of collecting data for national database of medical waste. The methodology is the annex 2 of the Order of the Ministry of Health no.219/2002 with subsequent amendments. Also, under the same regulations, health unit must develop and implement its own plan for waste management. The management plan shall be reviewed annually or whenever needed.

In Table III and Fig.4 are presenting the analysis results of average daily biomedical waste generated within a month of observation in the hospitals of Dolj District [15,22].

| TABLE III                                |
|--|
| AVERAGE DAILY BIOMEDICAL WASTE GENERATED |
| NUL OCDITAL COE DOLL DISTRICT            |

|           | IN HOSPITALS OF DOLJ DISTRICT                                |             |                                |   |  |  |
|-----------|--|-------------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Sr.<br>No | Health Care<br>Unit  | Beds<br>No. | Average<br>beds no./<br>/ 24 h | Hazardous<br>waste<br>amount<br>kg / 24 h | Non-<br>hazard.<br>waste<br>amount<br>kg/ 24 h |  |
| 0         | 1  | 2           | 3                              | 4   | 5  |  |
| 1         | Emergency<br>Clinical<br>Hospital of<br>Craiova              | 1452        | 1452                           | 443                                       | 765  |  |
| 2         | Municipal<br>Clinical<br>Hospital of<br>Craiova              | 539         | 461                            | 71.5                                      | 321.5  |  |
| 3         | Infectious<br>Diseases<br>Clinical<br>Hospital of<br>Craiova | 480         | 361                            | 336                                       | 10   |  |
| 4         | Neuro-<br>psychiatry<br>Clinical<br>Hospital of<br>Craiova   | 370         | 365                            | 32.95                                     | 244  |  |
| 5         | Lung-<br>physiology<br>Hospital of<br>Leamna                 | 200         | 190                            | 53  | 8,9  |  |
| 6         | Municipal<br>Hospital of<br>Calafat                          | 285         | 223                            | 26.5                                      | 88.5   |  |
| 7         | Psychiatry<br>Hospital of<br>Poiana<br>Mare                  | 500         | 457                            | 4.5                                       | 13.25  |  |
| 8         | Urban<br>Hospital of<br>Segarcea                             | 80          | 99                             | 10  | 2  |  |
| 9         | Urban<br>Hospital of<br>Filiasi                              | 162         | 160                            | 8.6                                       | 144  |  |
| 10        | Urban<br>Hospital of<br>Bailesti                             | 140         | 121                            | 22.75                                     | 84   |  |
| 11        | Hospital of<br>Dabuleni                                      | 80          | 92                             | 7.77                                      | 71   |  |

|     | Average     | Average     | Elimination Method         |          |           |
|-----|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Sr. | Hazardous   | Hazard.     | Hospital Outside Neutrali- |          |           |
| No  | Waste       | Waste       | Own                        | Hospital | zation    |
|     | Amont       | Amont       | Incine-                    | Incine-  | kg/24 h   |
|     | kg/bed/24 h | kg/bed/24 h | rator                      | ration   | 0         |
|     | Current     | Previous    |                            |          |           |
|     | Year        | Year        |                            |          |           |
| 0   | 6           | 7           | 8                          | 9        | 10        |
| 1   | 0.178       | -           | -                          | YES      | -         |
| 2   |             |             | -                          | Munici-  | YES       |
|     | 0.894       | 1.05        |                            | pal      | 22,5kg/   |
|     |             |             |                            | Incine-  | /24 h     |
|     |             |             |                            | rator    |           |
| 3   |             |             | YES                        | -        | Sterili-  |
|     | 0.293       | 1.814       | 62 kg/                     |          | sation    |
|     |             |             | /24 h                      |          | 45 kg/    |
|     |             |             |                            |          | /24 h     |
| 4   |             |             | YES                        | -        | -         |
|     | 0.044       | -           | 6,1 kg/                    |          |           |
|     |             |             | /24 h                      |          |           |
| 5   |             |             | YES                        | -        | -         |
|     | 0.133       | 0.441       | 19 kg/                     |          |           |
|     |             |             | /24 h                      |          |           |
| 6   |             |             | YES                        | -        | -         |
|     | 0.107       | 0.125       | 43 kg/                     |          |           |
|     |             |             | /24 h                      |          |           |
| 7   | 0.025       | 0.010       | YES                        | -        | -         |
| 8   |             |             | -                          | YES      | 4,62kg/   |
|     |             |             |                            | Craiova  | /24 h     |
|     | 0.007       | 0.16        |                            | Munici-  |           |
|     |             |             |                            | pal      |           |
|     |             |             |                            | Incine-  |           |
|     |             |             |                            | rator    |           |
| 9   |             |             | YES                        | NO       | YES       |
|     | 0.193       | 0.131       | 45 kg/24                   |          | 14 kg/    |
|     |             |             | h                          |          | /24 h     |
| 10  | 0.11        | 0.056       | YES                        | NO       | YES       |
|     |             |             | 9kg/24 h                   |          | 3 kg/24 h |
| 11  | 1.599       |             | YES                        | -        | -         |
|     |             |             | 24,85                      |          |           |
|     |             |             | kg/24 h                    |          |           |




Fig.4 Average daily biomedical Waste generated in Dolj District Hospitals

In Table IV and Fig.5 are presented the analysis results of average daily biomedical waste generated within the Hospital of Obstetrics Gynaecology of Ramnicu Valcea [23].

The starting point of implementation of biomedical waste management program within the Obstetrics-Gynaecology Hospital of Ramnicu Valcea was based on the most important legislative in the field of biomedicale waste:

• Basel International Agreement on 1989 (Program Coordinator of United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP), which refers to the transboundary movement of hazardous wastes)

TABLE IV Average Daily medical Waste Generated IN Hospital of obstetrics gynaecology of ramnicu-valcea

| Health care<br>unit (number<br>of beds) | Non-hazardous waste<br>(kg/24 hours)  |     | Hazardous waste<br>(kg/24 hours)                          |     |  |
|---|---|-----|---|-----|--|
|   | 1.Paper   | 50  | Anatomo-<br>pathological<br>waste and<br>anatomical parts | 61  |  |
| 785 beds                                | 2.Plastic   | 80  | Infectious waste  | 60  |  |
|   | 3.Sterile packaging   | 42  | Sharp waste   | 25  |  |
|   | 4.Disposable equipment<br>uncontamined with<br>biological fluids  | 20  | Chemical and<br>pharmaceutical<br>waste                   | 6   |  |
|   | 5. Leftovers  | 154 | Pharmaceutical waste                                      | 7   |  |
|   | 6. Household waste, waste assimilated household waste   | 87  | Chemical waste  | 5   |  |
|   | 10. Dangerous goods that<br>were not used in the initial<br>purpose and will be returned<br>to the producer | 36  | Hazardous<br>chemical<br>waste                            | 20  |  |
|   | 12. Waste with the pH > 6,0<br>and < 8.5  | 15  | Special waste   | 18  |  |
|   | Total (kg/day)  | 482 | Total (kg/day)  | 202 |  |




Fig.5 Medical waste amount generated within the Hospital of Obstetrics Gynaecology of Ramnicu-Valcea

Amount of non-hazardous medical waste generated before the implementation of waste management system

Amount of non-hazardous medical waste generated after the implementation of waste management system

Amount of non-hazardous medical waste recycled after the implementation of waste management system

- Biological Agents Directive 90/679/EEC
- Hazardous Waste Directive 91/689/EEC
- Health and Safety Framework Directive 89/391/EEC
- Waste Directive 75/442/EEC
- Waste Oils Directive 75/439/EEC
- Packaging Waste Directive 94/62/EC
- Carcinogens Directive 90/394/EEC
- Dangerous Substances Directive 76/769/EEC
- Natural Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC
- Protective Equipment Directive 89/656/EEC
- PPE Directive 89/656/EEC

An effective and efficient program for the management of healthcare waste is an important component of the hospital management system [2,28,29,30,31,32,33]. As consequence, identifying the waste categories and the strategy to be development to prevent and minimize the waste amount, as well as the objectives, actions and term limits are encompassed within the framework program of biomedical waste management of Obstetrics-Gynaecology Hospital of Ramnicu-Valcea. Issues to be considered are regarding:




Fig. 6 Biomedical waste management implementation

### a) Input data:

- Information on hospital organization
- Information on processes
- Documentation of equipment and medication suppliers
- Information on diagnostic and analysis equipment
- Legislation and regulations
- Contractual documents

# *b) Activities in the process:*

- Identifying environmental aspects and associated impacts
- Environmental impact assessment
- Significant environmental aspects database

The environmental analysis is performed:

- Initially, when the decision of biomedical waste management system is done

- Whenever significant changes occur in the organization (organizing structure changes, new departments, necessary materials, equipment, range of tests made, changes or appearances of new regulations in the environmental and sanitation field) that may influence the initial analysis.

Each Clinic Head identifies and lists for the department that coordinates all operations performed, and then determining the corresponding products using a form list of activities, products and waste from the clinic. This list is reviewed by the Environmental Officer. Heads of clinics develop input-output analysis to identify environmental issues as a form. As inputs will enter materials, as well as medicines, equipment, hazardous substances etc. As outputs will be identified both waste and other outputs, like expired medicines, laboratory calibration equipment. Further on, these forms will be sent to the environmental officer, who completing the form identification of environmental aspects and impacts associated based on information drawn from input-output analysis and submit it for review of Environmental Manager and approval of Hospital Director.

Specify operating conditions: normal (N), abnormal (A) and urgency (U). For all operating conditions (both the normal and in case of abnormal and emergency), environmental officer will determine the environmental impact and the environmental factor (air, water, soil) affected by each environmental aspect identified.

# V. CONCLUSION

During the study, it was observed that the analyzed Romanian Hospitals have been properly managing their biomedical waste. The hospitals have been segregating the biomedical waste every day, in accordance with the biomedical waste categories, collected in the appropriate type of container and specified color coding, in accordance with the legislation. The hospitals also followed the regulation tables given in the legislation. Temporary storage of waste currently generated within the analyzed Romanian Hospitals is performed in special places that are sanitary authorized, and the storage time not exceeds 72 hours (48 hours within the hospital, 24 hours for transport and final disposal). The hospitals have maintained the practice of decontamination of biomedical waste before disposal or storing of the waste for 48 hours. Transportation is carried out only by authorized companies, with cars specially equipped for biomedical waste types, marked when is necessary by "biohazard" symbol, on designated routes and endorsed by ASP.

Within the Obstetrics-Gynaecology Hospital of Ramnicu-Valcea, after a period of three months following the waste management implementation, a analysis performed had shown a 17% reduction in the quantity of non- hazardous waste, of which 67% were recycled. The amount of hazardous waste has not been reduced, but the measures taken have led to their entirely neutralization and sanitary disposal.

Final elimination can be done through several processes: incineration in approved incinerators that comply with environmental and health legislation, or neutralization by autoclaving, microwave disinfection, encapsulation, irradiation, this way the medical waste becomes non-hazardous waste, equivalent to those households that can be landfilled together with municipal waste.

Following analysis of hospital management, the functioning of biomedical waste management system should be

periodically reformulated, in accordance with the situation changes.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Public Health Authority of Dolj District and Obstetrics-Gynaecology Hospital of Ramnicu-Valcea for providing necessary data, as well as supporting and implementing a sustainable management system of medical waste.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Cole, E.C.: *Internal Medical Waste Management,* Durham, North Carolina, 1995.
- [2] Diaz L.F. and Savage G.M., Risks and Costs Associated with Management of Infectious Wastes, WHO/WPRO, Manila, Philippines, December 2003.
- [3] McDougall F., White P., Franke M., Hundle P., Integrated Solid Waste Management: A Life Cycle Inventory, Blackwell Science Edition, Oxford, 2001.
- [4] Rutala W., Odette W. and Sarnsa G., Management of Infectious Waste by U.S. Hospitals, *Journal of American Medical Association*, 262:1635-1640, 1989.
- [5] Sheth K.N., Purvi Miss., Desai H., Characterization and Management of Bio-Medical Waste in Sae Hospital, Anand – a Case Study, *Electronic Journal of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry EJEAFChe*, ISSN: 1579-4377, 5(6), 2006, pp.1583-1589.
- [6] Stamenkovic M., Kralj D., Health Care and Waste Treatment, WSEAS Int.Conference on Energy Planning, Energy Saving, Environmental Education, Arcachon, France, October 14-16, 2007, pp.116-119.
- [7] Kralj D., Stamenkovic M., Health Service and Environment Management System, WSEAS /IASME Int.Conference ''06 Miami, USA, 2006.
- [8] Sulmer P., Defining and Managing Infectious Waste, Plant, Technology & Safety Series, The Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations, 4:17-23, 1989.
- [9] Doucet L.G., Infectious Waste Tratment and Disposal Alternatives, Peekskill, NY: Doucet & Mainka, P.C., 1989.
- [10] Ram Charitra Sah, Bio-Medical Waste Management Practice and POPs in Kathmandu, Nepal, Center for Public Health and Environmental Developmentof Kathmandu, Nepal, http://www.noharm.org/details.cfm, July 2007
- [11] ISO 14001:2004(E), Environmental management system -Requirements guidance for use.
- [12] ISO 10014:2006(E), Quality Management Guidelines for realizing financial and economic benefits.
- [13] OTA Special Report on Medical Waste Treatment Methods, *Finding the Rx for Managing Medical Wastes*, NTIS order #PB91-106203, October, 1990
- [14] Celikyay S., Uzun N., Major Components of Environmental Protection Process, 5<sup>th</sup> WSEAS Int.Conference on Environment, Ecosystems and Development EED''07, Tenerife, Spain, December 14-16, 2007
- [15] Bulucea C.A., Mastorakis N.E., BuluceaC.A., Boteanu N., Stinga A., Systemic Approach ot Hazardous and Non-Hazardous Waste Management,, Advances in Enery Planning, Environmental Education and Renewable Energy Sources, 4<sup>th</sup> WSEAS Int.Conf. on Energy Planning, Energy Saving and Environmental Education, Kantaoui, Souse, Tunisia, May 3-6, 2010, p.181-190.
- [16] The Directive on Harmonization of Good Laboratory Practice 87/18/EEC and its related Directive on Inspection and Verification of Good Laboratory Practice 88/320/EE
- [17] Ordinul Ministrului Sanatatii si Fmiliei din Romania nr.219/2002 pentru aprobarea Normelor tehnice privind gestionarea deseurilor rezultate din activitatile medicale si a Metodologiilor de culegere a datelor pentru baza nationala de date privind deseurile rezultate din activitatile medicale.

- [18] Hotararea Guvernului Romaniei nr. 856/2002 privind evidenta gestiunii deseurilor si pentru aprobarea listei cuprinzand deseurile, inclusiv deseurile periculoase, ce pot fi supuse tratamentelor de neutralizare prin sterilizare termica.
- [19] Research Triangle Institute, Review and Evaluation of Existing Literature on Generation, Management and Potential Health Effects of Medical Waste, Health Assessment Section, Technical Assessment Branch, Office of Solid Waste, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, November, 1988.
- [20] Dumitrescu A., Nicorici M., Sandu S., Lupascu C.: Descrierea modului de gestionare a deseurilor rezultate din activitati medicale in Romania, Sesiunea Stiintifica a Institutului de Igiena, Sanatate Publica, Servicii de Sanatate si de Conducere Bucuresti, 29 februarie- 1 martie 1996.
- [21] Dumitrescu A., Vacarel M., Qaramah A.: Gestionarea deseurilor rezultate dnn activitatile medicale, Ministerul Sanatatii, Institutul de Igiena, Sanatate Publica, Servicii de Sanatate si de Conducere Bucuresti, Bucuresti 2007.
- [22] Baza de date a Autoritatii de Sanatate Publica Dolj, Inspectia Sanitara de Stat, privind deseurile rezultate din activitatile medicale in spitalele din judetul Dolj (Database of Public Health Authority of Dolj District).
- [23] Mindrescu T.A., Implementarea unui system de management al deseurilor biomedicale la Spitalul de Obstetrica-Ginecologie Rm.Valcea, Master Thesis, Faculty of Electromechanical and Environmental Engineering, Craiova, 2010.
- [24] Brundtland (1989), Our Common Future, World Commission on Environment and Development, Oxford University Press.
- [25] Cross F., The Case for Regional Incineration of Hospital Waste, Proceedings of the First National Symposium on Incineration of Infectious Waste, Washington, DC, May, 1988.
- [26] Hagenmaier H., Kraft M., Brunner H. and Haag R., Catalytic Effects of Fly Ash from Waste Incineration Facilities to the Formation and Decomposition of Polychlorinated Dibenzo-p-dioxins and Polyclorinated Dibenzofurans, *Environ.Sci.Technol.21(11):1080-1084*, 1987.
- [27] Negulescu M., Vaicum L., Patru C. et al.: Protectia mediului inconjurator, Editura tehnica, Bucuresti, 1995.
- [28] Landrum V.J., Medical waste management and disposal,- Hardbound, publication date: DEC-1991 563 pages, - ISBN-13: 978-0-8155-1264-6 ISBN-13: 978-0-8155-1264-6, ISBN-10: 0-8155-1264-3 ISBN-10: 0-8155-1264-3.
- [29] World Health Organization: Management of Wastes from Healthcare Activities Teachers guide, Geneva, 1998.
- [30] Health and Safety Commission: Safe Disposal of Clinical Waste, UK, 1999.
- [31] Healthcare Without Harm Europe: Non-Incineration Medical Waste Treatment Technologies in Europe, Cehia, 2004.
- [32] Asociatia Expertilor de Mediu: Metode de minimizare si eliminare a deseurilor medicale periculoase rezultate din activitatea unitatilor sanitare, Bucuresti, 2006.
- [33] <u>http://www.who.int/entity/water\_sanitation\_health/medicalwaste/itoxiv.</u> <u>pdf</u> - Safety management of waste from health-care activities